

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Antigen-specific acquired immunity in human brucellosis: implications for diagnosis, prognosis, and vaccine development.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/569617c1>

Authors

Cannella, Anthony P
Tsolis, Renee M
Liang, Li
et al.

Publication Date

2012

DOI

10.3389/fcimb.2012.00001

Peer reviewed



Antigen-specific acquired immunity in human brucellosis: implications for diagnosis, prognosis, and vaccine development

Anthony P. Cannella¹, Renee M. Tsois², Li Liang³, Philip L. Felgner³, Mayuko Saito⁴, Alessandro Sette⁵, Eduardo Gotuzzo⁶ and Joseph M. Vinetz^{1*}

¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

² Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA

³ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

⁴ Asociacion Benefica PRISMA, Lima, Peru

⁵ Center for Infectious Diseases, Allergy and Asthma, La Jolla Institute of Allergy and Immunology, La Jolla CA, USA

⁶ Alexander von Humboldt Institute of Tropical Medicine, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru

Edited by:

Thomas A. Ficht, Texas A&M University, USA

Reviewed by:

Yongqun "Oliver" He, University of Michigan School of Medicine, USA
Menachem Banai, Kimron Veterinary Institute, Israel

*Correspondence:

Joseph M. Vinetz, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, Palade Laboratories, Room #125, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92092-0741, USA.
e-mail: jvinetz@ucsd.edu

Brucella spp., are Gram negative bacteria that cause disease by growing within monocyte/macrophage lineage cells. Clinical manifestations of brucellosis are immune mediated, not due to bacterial virulence factors. Acquired immunity to brucellosis has been studied through observations of naturally infected hosts (cattle, goats), mouse models (mice), and human infection. Even though *Brucella* spp. are known for producing mechanisms that evade the immune system, cell-mediated immune responses drive the clinical manifestations of human disease after exposure to *Brucella* species, as high antibody responses are not associated with protective immunity. The precise mechanisms by which cell-mediated immune responses confer protection or lead to disease manifestations remain undefined. Descriptive studies of immune responses in human brucellosis show that TH₁ (interferon- γ -producing T cells) are associated with dominant immune responses, findings consistent with animal studies. Whether these T cell responses are protective, or determine the different clinical responses associated with brucellosis is unknown, especially with regard to undulant fever manifestations, relapsing disease, or are associated with responses to distinct sets of *Brucella* spp. antigens are unknown. Few data regarding T cell responses in terms of specific recognition of *Brucella* spp. protein antigens and peptidic epitopes, either by CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells, have been identified in human brucellosis patients. Additionally because current attenuated *Brucella* vaccines used in animals cause human disease, there is a true need for a recombinant protein subunit vaccine for human brucellosis, as well as for improved diagnostics in terms of prognosis and identification of unusual forms of brucellosis. This review will focus on current understandings of antigen-specific immune responses induced *Brucella* peptidic epitopes that has promise for yielding new insights into vaccine and diagnostics development, and for understanding pathogenetic mechanisms of human brucellosis.

Keywords: T cell epitope, immunology, *Brucella*, zoonotic diseases, systems biology

INTRODUCTION

Cellular mediated immune responses to brucellosis drive a broad range of manifestations of the disease that vary from subclinical infection (more common with *Brucella abortus*) to undulant fever, to focal pyogenic infection, to chronic fatigue syndrome-like illness (Yingst and Hoover, 2003; Yang et al., 2005). However, the molecular mechanisms that determine the variable manifestations of *Brucella* infection remain to be elucidated. Information on acquired immunity to human brucellosis has been accumulated through observational studies of naturally infected hosts (cattle, goats), experimental models (mice), and observations of human disease. Three predominant *Brucella* species are seen frequently in human infections: *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, and *B. suis*. Of these

three species, *B. melitensis* infections are most commonly seen in humans and seem to be the most pathogenic (Pappas et al., 2005). In the United States, domesticated cattle, which are potential reservoirs for the organism, are vaccinated against *B. abortus* (RB51 or S19); elsewhere (in the Middle East and Latin America), goats and sheep may be vaccinated with Rev-1, an attenuated strain of *B. melitensis*. Currently available veterinary vaccines are comprised of live-attenuated organisms but are unacceptable in humans because they cause clinical disease (Kinikli et al., 2005; Durward et al., 2010).

Brucellosis can occur in several forms: acute/subacute (associated with positive blood cultures, high titer agglutination serologies), focal (blood culture negative, serologically variable, and

positive local site culture), and chronic (blood culture negative, serologically variable but often negative, sometimes bone marrow culture positive, often diagnosed clinically in response to therapy; Jimenez de Bagues et al., 2005). However, it is currently unknown what causes some individuals to have the acute form and some to progress and develop chronic disease. As hypothesized in this review and elsewhere, it seems probable that immunogenetics of cell-mediated immune responses to *Brucella* protein antigens determines clinical manifestations and outcome. Sometimes, despite treatment for brucellosis, there are still some bacterial foci that may persist despite antibiotics or *Brucella* DNAemia may persist, presumably because of deficient T cell activation of infected macrophage/dendritic cells (DCs; Vrioni et al., 2008). Additional mechanisms may also include altered innate immune responses determined by the pathogenetic properties of the bacteria themselves. There have been studies demonstrating that *Brucella* epitopes can include those recognized by peptide-specific CD8+ T cells associated with protective responses at least in a mouse model (Durward et al., 2010). While there has been an experimental interferon-gamma release assay developed for bovine brucellosis, there has not been one developed for human infection to differentiate immune responses associated with different forms of brucellosis, to definitely diagnose previous exposure, or identify targets of protective immunity. Understanding the precise molecular targets (protein, peptidic epitope) of T cell-mediated immune responses has the promise to translate to further investigations into new vaccine and diagnostic *Brucella* T cell epitopes, and their role in specific T cell-mediated responses.

T CELL CYTOKINE RESPONSES TO BRUCELLA INFECTION

Infections may occur after ingestion or inhalation of *Brucellae* that penetrate mucosal surface such as the upper respiratory or gastrointestinal mucosa via lymphoid cells. Once the bacteria are phagocytosed by macrophages, DCs (Billard et al., 2007), and other antigen presenting cells (APCs), approximately 40–50% of the bacteria resist digestion within these cells. *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* that have smooth LPS (with intact O-antigen chain) are able to survive better intracellularly than *B. canis* that has rough LPS (lacks O-antigen side chain; Vrioni et al., 2008). *Brucella* spp. LPS is also composed of longer carbon chains (C28) as apposed to the usual 12–16 carbons in the LPS from *Enterobacteriaceae*. In addition, *Brucella* spp. produce proteins (e.g., Vi antigen), which create a capsule around the LPS, therefore limiting it to have contact with TLR4 receptors (Lapaque et al., 2005; Tsolis et al., 2008). In addition, the domain for the flagellin protein in *Brucella* spp. does not stimulate TLR5 receptors, and is another way for the bacteria to evade the immune system during early infection (Tsolis et al., 2008). These areas are conserved in all *Brucella* spp. and to others in the same family to evade detection by the immune system during the infection and possibly allowing the bacteria to persist in the reticuloendothelial system (Tsolis et al., 2008; Barquero-Calvo et al., 2009).

After initial encounter with *Brucella* antigens, APCs produce interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and gamma-interferon (IFN- γ) initiating innate immune responses (including natural killer cells) that may limit the initial spread of organisms. Infected APC in which organisms

residing within unactivated phagolysosomes are likely to present some subset of peptidic *Brucella* antigens (hitherto unknown) to CD4+ and CD8+ cells, and thus inducing a TH₁ response associated with IFN- γ release. The functional consequences of antigen-specific IFN- γ release is unclear but does not lead to elimination of organisms during active, symptomatic infection and likely results in clinical symptomatology (i.e., fever, sweating, weight loss). Clonal T cell expansion is initiated with production of interleukin-2 (IL-2) and interleukin-12 (IL-12), which initiates a CD8+ cytotoxic response on *Brucella*-infected cells. Infected macrophages produce IL-12 and IFN- γ which regulate antigen presentation and may contribute to the limitation of intracellular bacterial replication through unknown mechanisms (Akbulut et al., 2005). Data also suggest that *Brucella* spp. also modify the initial immune response once phagocytosed into APCs. To survive within APCs, *Brucella* use certain gene products to subvert certain phagocyte intracellular processes, particularly phagosome–lysosome fusion which would be associated with bacterial killing. One way that the organism survives within APCs is through *Brucella*-containing vacuoles (BCVs), which the organism secretes *Sar1*, a critical protein which allows the organism to replicate within these vacuoles (Celli et al., 2005). CD64, also known as Fc γ RI, is a macrophage-expressed gene whose expression is down-regulated in *B. melitensis* infections (Lapaque et al., 2005), reflecting the reduction of antigen processing in *Brucella*-infected APCs and possibly inhibiting the killing of infected cells initiated by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. This mechanism may be important for decreasing superoxide and reactive nitrogen compounds as another pathogen-associated localized immunosuppression. Other gene products such as cystatin C, serpin3c, and Gas2 (natural peptidase inhibitors) appear to alter macrophage chemotaxis, cell migration, and proliferation, which may further allow *Brucella* to avoid immune surveillance and lead to enhanced multiplication (Lapaque et al., 2005). Decreased transcription of Cyp4a10 is thought to be associated with the reduction of oxidative stress that creates an environment conducive to bacterial proliferation. *Prkca* is another macrophage-associated gene potentially modulated during *Brucella* infection, who functions includes regulating phagosome–lysosome fusion and intracellular vesicle and reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation. *Prkca* expression is dramatically reduced in *Brucella*-infected macrophages, and interestingly, has been shown to be regulated by other intracellular pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *Leishmania*, and *Legionella*. All these mechanisms contribute to allowing *Brucellae* to survive within the intracellular environment, and evade not only the innate immunity, but CD4+ and CD8+-mediated host cell killing (Covert et al., 2009).

Alteration of T cell function may be key to explaining the clinico-pathological manifestations of chronic or relapsing brucellosis. Specifically, a decreased TH₁ cytokine response by APCs (with decreased activation of cytotoxic T cells via IFN- γ , IL-12, and possibly IL-17 (Pasquevich et al., 2010), and toward a TH₂ response (which decreases phagocyte function and reduced cytotoxic response via IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10; Jimenez de Bagues et al., 2005). These infected cells fail to produce IFN- γ , and decrease clonal expansion of *Brucella*-specific CD4+ T cells. Subsequently, *Brucella*-specific CD8+ T cells would not initiate destruction

of infected cells by perforin and granzyme injection (cell lysis) or through stimulation of FAS ligand (cellular apoptosis; Yingst and Hoover, 2003; Skendros et al., 2008). Based on these considerations, the unusual and diverse manifestations of chronic and relapsing brucellosis could be related to several potential immunopathogenic mechanisms: an ineffective CD4⁺ effector response, a down-regulated CD8⁺ T cell response or a continued, established TH₂ response, each of which could result in an incomplete resolution of the infection (Giambartolomei et al., 2004). In comparing cytokine responses in patients with acute and chronic brucellosis, before and after treatment, Akbulut et al. (2005) found that in chronic brucellosis, both the absolute number of CD4⁺ cells and the quantitative secretion of IFN- γ were reduced. Rafiei et al. (2006) confirmed these results, and further demonstrated that IL-13 is increased in chronic brucellosis, further demonstrating the initial association of TH₁ cytokine responses with acute brucellosis illness, which gradually becomes TH₂ cytokine dominant. These observations suggest that once acute brucellosis has resolved, that both the number of CD4⁺ cells and CD4⁺ functional response is reduced. Whether antigen-specific memory CD4⁺ cells are produced during acute or chronic brucellosis remains to be determined (Moreno-Lafont et al., 2002; Akbulut et al., 2005; Kinikli et al., 2005). Recently Elfaki and Al-Hokail observed that mice deficient in β 2-microglobulin produced an impaired CD8⁺ response associated with increased *Brucella* bacterial load and decreased clearance (Moreno-Lafont et al., 2002; Elfaki and Al-Hokail, 2009). Extrapolating such results to human disease, chronic or relapsing brucellosis might be explained in that CD69 expression on both CD4⁺ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells are significantly decreased in this patient subset. Further experimentation indicates that there is an unknown individual effect on the immune system after an episode of acute brucellosis, and therefore one develop chronic and relapsing brucellosis.

BRUCELLA PROTEINS RECOGNIZED IN HUMAN IMMUNE RESPONSES

Epitopes are the molecular subset of any macromolecule recognized by antibodies, B cells, T cells, and NK cells. T cells recognize peptides generally of 8–20 amino acids bound to MHC molecules (HLA Class I associated with CD8⁺ T cells; HLA Class II associated with CD4⁺ T cells) presented on the surface of a B cell, macrophage or dendritic cell (Yang et al., 2005). Non-classical MHC molecules also present non-peptidic epitopes such as glycolipids to non-restricted lymphocytes, particularly NK cells. There are only a few studies in the literature that have demonstrated either a humoral or cellular response to *Brucella* epitopes. The epitopes of greatest interest to date include Bp26 (a periplasmic protein), Trigger Factor (a chaperone protein; Yang et al., 2005), and the outer membrane lipoproteins such as Omp 10, 16, and 19 (Tibor et al., 1999). Interestingly, these antigens, especially the outer membrane lipoproteins, appear to be potent in inducing cytokine responses from memory T cells. In contrast, *Brucella* LPS and *Brucella* DNA do not elicit intense immune responses (Giambartolomei et al., 2004; Vrioni et al., 2008). Previous vaccine studies in mice have used some of these outer membrane epitopes (Pasquevich et al., 2010) as well as certain enzymes such as Cu–Zn superoxide dismutase (Singha et al., 2008). Yet, these

were not demonstrated to offer protection for humans or T cell cytokine release assays to prove their efficacy.

These antigens have been identified in both *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*. Bp26 and Trigger Factor have been shown to be recognized by the immune system (Yang et al., 2005), yet with a reduced activation in patients with chronic/relapsing brucellosis. Recently, Liang et al. (2010, 2011) reported comprehensive systems biology analyses of human antibody responses in acute *B. melitensis* brucellosis in Peru. A collection of sera isolated from individuals from one of the following groups was used to probe large scale *B. melitensis* protein microarrays including a ~1400 proteins array and 3300 proteins array representing nearly the entire encoded proteome: *Brucella* blood culture positive, blood culture negative with positive Rose Bengal, blood culture negative with negative Rose Bengal, and two naïve groups (from both American and Peruvian individuals.) Sets of proteins that differentiated acutely infected from uninfected patient groups were identified that were recognized by patient IgG responses. These *Brucella* protein epitopes were then further separated by the patient groups which recognized them, as some were only recognized by culture positive or culture negative patients/Rose Bengal positive patients compared to naïve patients (Table 1), others cross react in both the culture positive patients and the naïve patients (Table 2) and some antigens produced a positive response in the culture positive group but not the culture negative group/Rose Bengal positive group (Table 3). This broad spectrum of antibody responses demonstrates the differences between in the manner that these groups respond to *Brucella* protein epitopes. Interestingly, some of the epitopes mentioned previously (i.e., Bp 26) produced a strong antibody response by Peruvian brucellosis patients, who were culture positive or culture negative patients/Rose Bengal positive patients. These antibody responses could reflect the substantial differences, which these protein epitopes have on the immune system activation, and a large number of antigens recognized by brucellosis patients were identified which have yet to be studied or have limited understanding of their function.

There could be a potential difference in the antibody responses compared to cytokine release assays for these specific epitopes, which produced antibody responses. Based on unpublished data, Bp26, which gave a strong antibody response, does not give a strong TH₁ response; VirB8 does generate a strong TH₁ response, yet does not give a particularly strong antibody response. The use of an epitope database has previously been used for *Mycobacteria tuberculosis*, and other infections by estimating the immune responses to epitopes associated with a certain organism (Blythe et al., 2007). A complete cytokine release assay for the entire brucellar proteome has not been undertaken. Based on the fact that antibody production is not protective in chronic brucellosis, we must conclude that T cell assays would be a more appropriate method to pursue with regards to not only diagnostic purposes, but for development of a recombinant protein vaccine as well.

CONCLUSION

Brucella spp. are important intracellular human and animal pathogens associated with fascinating mechanisms of immune modulation and subversion of APCs as an intrinsic mechanism

Table 1 | Serodiagnostic antigens for culture+ and culture–/Rose Bengal+ vs. Peruvian Naïve.

Locus Tag/Acc#/Ch#, gene position	Function	Protein mass (kDa)
BMEI0536	Periplasmic immunogenic protein (bp26)	26,552
LPS-BM-0.1	LPS	None
BMEI1048	60 kDa chaperonin	57,462
BMEI0805	Putative uncharacterized protein	11,217
BMEI0032	Type IV secretion system protein; VirB8	26,446
BMEI1330	Probable serine protease do-like	53,514
BMEI0855	Pyruvate dehydrogenase e1 component, β -subunit	48,954
BMEI0228	<i>Lema</i> protein	23,260
BMEI0340	Outer membrane lipoprotein omp16	18,233
BMEI1890	Transporter	34,705
BMEI1077	Immunogenic membrane protein yajC	12,560
BMEI0017	Outer membrane lipoprotein omp10	13,260
BMEI0324	Chemotaxis motb protein	38,302
BMEI0856	Dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase component of PyDH complex	46,721
BMEI1980	DNA protection during starvation protein	19,903
BMEI0141	Dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase	42,911
BMEI0135	Outer membrane lipoprotein omp19	17,604
BMEI1060	Outer membrane protein	27,290
BMEI0786	Outer membrane protein (integral)	24,423
BMEI0587	Competence lipoprotein	34,770
BMEI0497	Enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase/3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA epimerase	80,197
BMEI1185	K(+)-insensitive pyrophosphate-energized proton pump	73,341
BMEI0073	Putative uncharacterized protein	11,277
BMEI0973	Putative uncharacterized protein	16,613
BMEI0962	Membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site containing protein	19,837
BMEI0589	6,7-dimethyl-8-ribityllumazine synthase 2 (riboflavin biosynthesis)	17,356
BMEI0691	Putative binding protein	69,388
BMEI0810	Putative membrane protein	29,529
BMEI0339	Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase carboxyl transferase subunit alpha	35,013
BMEI0368	Putative uncharacterized protein	12,741
BMEI0704	Bacterioferritin	18,659
BMEI0830	Outer membrane protein	85,919
BMEI1015	Sensor protein (kinase transferase)	48,811
BMEI0503	Acyl-CoA hydrolase	14,555

Table 2 | Cross-reactive antigens for culture+ or culture–/Rose Bengal+ vs. Peruvian Naïve (negative controls).

Locus Tag/Acc#/Ch#, gene position	Function	Protein mass (kDa)
BMEI1079	Putative outer membrane lipoprotein	43,604
BMEI1082	Seryl-tRNA(Ser/Sec) synthetase	47,520
BMEI1084	Sec-independent protein translocase protein tatA/E homolog	7,999
BMEI0010	Hypothetical membrane associated protein	67,136
BMEI1033	Putative uncharacterized protein	23,107
BMEI0917	Putative uncharacterized protein	17,923
BMEI1111	Putative uncharacterized protein	16,629
BMEI0040	Glutamate synthase (large chain)	173,600
BMEI0356	Galactonate dehydratase	66,751
BMEI1382	Betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase	52,035

of the diseases that they cause. New systems biology analyses of antigens recognized by human immune responses in brucellosis have identified large numbers of protein antigens with potential

for understanding mechanisms of pathogenesis and immune evasion and may point the way toward novel vaccine and diagnostic approaches. These approaches have generalized applicability to the

Table 3 | Serodiagnostic antigens for culture+ vs. culture−/Rose Bengal+.

Locus Tag/Acc#/Ch#, gene position	Function	Protein mass (kDa)
BMEII0173	Putative uncharacterized protein	11,277
BMEII0029	Type IV secretion system protein virB5	26,810
BMEII0182	Putative uncharacterized protein	8,718
BMEII0054	Putative uncharacterized protein	5,237
BMEII1049	Putative uncharacterized protein	7,955
BMEII0558	Putative uncharacterized protein	7,596
BMEII0532	Hypothetical membrane associated protein	7,916
BMEII0892	Putative uncharacterized protein	6,762
BMEI1502	Alkaline phosphatase like protein	21,488
BMEII0720	Transcriptional regulator	7,304

analysis of T cell responses associated chronic bacterial, fungal and parasitic infections other than *Brucella*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by grant K24AI068903, 3U01AI075420, AI087164, and T32AI007036 from the United

States Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. We would like to thank Ms. Paula Maguina of UC San Diego, who made contributions to both research management and international collaboration. Finally, we would like to thank all of the patients both in the USA and in Peru for their participation in this study.

REFERENCES

- Akbulut, H. H., Kilic, S. S., Bulut, V., and Ozden, M. (2005). Determination of intracellular cytokines produced by Th1 and Th2 cells using flow cytometry in patients with brucellosis. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 45, 253–258.
- Barquero-Calvo, E., Conde-Alvarez, R., Chacon-Diaz, C., Quesada-Lobo, L., Martirosyan, A., Guzmán-Verri, C., Iriarte, M., Mancek-Keber, M., Jerála, R., Gorvel, J. P., Moriyón, I., Moreno, E., and Chaves-Olarte, E. (2009). The differential interaction of *Brucella* and *ochrobactrum* with innate immunity reveals traits related to the evolution of stealthy pathogens. *PLoS ONE* 4, e5893. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005893
- Billard, E., Dornand, J., and Gross, A. (2007). Interaction of *Brucella suis* and *Brucella abortus* rough strains with human dendritic cells. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 5916–5923.
- Blythe, M. J., Zhang, Q., Vaughan, K., de Castro, R. Jr., Salimi, N., Bui, H. H., Lewinsohn, D. M., Ernst, J. D., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2007). An analysis of the epitope knowledge related to *Mycobacteria*. *Immunome Res.* 3, 10.
- Celli, J., Salcedo, S. P., and Gorvel, J. P. (2005). *Brucella* coopts the small GTPase Sar1 for intracellular replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 1673–1678.
- Covert, J., Mathison, A. J., Eskra, L., Banai, M., and Splitter, G. (2009). *Brucella melitensis*, *B. neotomae* and *B. ovis* elicit common and distinctive macrophage defense transcriptional responses. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)*. 234, 1450–1467.
- Durward, M. A., Harms, J., Magnani, D. M., Eskra, L., and Splitter, G. A. (2010). Discordant *Brucella melitensis* antigens yield cognate CD8+ T cells in vivo. *Infect. Immun.* 78, 168–176.
- Elfaki, M. G., and Al-Hokail, A. A. (2009). Transforming growth factor beta production correlates with depressed lymphocytes function in humans with chronic brucellosis. *Microbes Infect.* 11, 1089–1096.
- Giambartolomei, G. H., Zwerdling, A., Cassataro, J., Bruno, L., Fossati, C. A., and Philipp, M. T. (2004). Lipoproteins, not lipopolysaccharide, are the key mediators of the proinflammatory response elicited by heat-killed *Brucella abortus*. *J. Immunol.* 173, 4635–4642.
- Jimenez de Bagues, M. P., Dudal, S., Dornand, J., and Gross, A. (2005). Cellular bioterrorism: how *Brucella* corrupts macrophage physiology to promote invasion and proliferation. *Clin. Immunol.* 114, 227–238.
- Kinikli, S., Turkcapar, N., Kucukay, M. B., Keskin, G., and Kinikli, G. (2005). In vitro nonspecific mitogenic response of T-cell subsets in acute and chronic brucellosis. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 52, 229–233.
- Lapaque, N., Moriyon, I., Moreno, E., and Gorvel, J. P. (2005). *Brucella* lipopolysaccharide acts as a virulence factor. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 8, 60–66.
- Liang, L., Leng, D., Burk, C., Nakajima-Sasaki, R., Kayala, M. A., Atluri, V. L., Pablo, J., Unal, B., Ficht, T. A., Gotuzzo, E., Saito, M., Morrow, W. J., Liang, X., Baldi, P., Gilman, R. H., Vinetz, J. M., Tsolis, R. M., and Felgner, P. L. (2010). Large scale immune profiling of infected humans and goats reveals differential recognition of *Brucella melitensis* antigens. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 4, e673.
- Liang, L., Tan, X., Juarez, S., Villaverde, H., Pablo, J., Nakajima-Sasaki, R., Gotuzzo, E., Saito, M., Hermanson, G., Molina, D., Felgner, S., Morrow, W. J., Liang, X., Gilman, R. H., Davies, D. H., Tsolis, R. M., Vinetz, J. M., and Felgner, P. L. (2011). Systems biology approach predicts antibody signature associated with *Brucella melitensis* infection in humans. *J. Proteome Res.* 10, 4813–4824.
- Moreno-Lafont, M. C., Lopez-Santiago, R., Zumaran-Cuellar, E., Paredes-Cervantes, V., López-Merino, A., Estrada-Aguilera, A., and Santos-Argumedo, L. (2002). Antigen-specific activation and proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes from brucellosis patients. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 96, 340–347.
- Pappas, G., Akritidis, N., Bosilkovski, M., and Tsianos, E. (2005). Brucellosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 352, 2325–2336.
- Pasquevich, K. A., Garcia Samartino, C., Coria, L. M., Estein, S. M., Zwerdling, A., Ibañez, A. E., Barionuevo, P., Oliveira, F. S., Carvalho, N. B., Borkowski, J., Oliveira, S. C., Warzecha, H., Giambartolomei, G. H., and Cassataro, J. (2010). The protein moiety of *Brucella abortus* outer membrane protein 16 is a new bacterial pathogen-associated molecular pattern that activates dendritic cells in vivo, induces a Th1 immune response, and is a promising self-adjuncting vaccine against systemic and oral acquired brucellosis. *J. Immunol.* 184, 5200–5212.
- Rafiei, A., Ardestani, S. K., Kariminia, A., Keyhani, A., Mohraz, M., Amirkhani, A. (2006). Dominant Th1 cytokine production in early onset of human brucellosis followed by switching towards Th2 along prolongation of disease. *J. Infect.* 53, 315–324.
- Singha, H., Mallick, A. I., Jana, C., Isore, D. P., Goswami, T.K., Srivastava, S. K., Azevedo, V. A., Chaudhuri, P., and Owais, M. (2008). Escheriosomes entrapped DNA vaccine co-expressing Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase and IL-18 confers protection against *Brucella abortus*. *Microbes Infect.* 10, 1089–1096.
- Skendros, P., Sarantopoulos, A., Tselios, K., and Boura, P. (2008). Chronic brucellosis patients retain low frequency of CD4+ T-lymphocytes expressing CD25 and CD28 after *Escherichia coli* LPS stimulation of PHA-cultured PBMCs. *Clin. Dev. Immunol.* 2008, 327346.
- Tibor, A., Decelle, B., and Letesson, J. J. (1999). Outer membrane proteins

- Omp10, Omp16, and Omp19 of *Brucella* spp. are lipoproteins. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 4960–4962.
- Tsolis, R. M., Young, G. M., Solnick, J. V., and Baumber, A. J. (2008). From bench to bedside: stealth of enteroinvasive pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 883–892.
- Vrioni, G., Pappas, G., Priavali, E., Gartzonika, C., and Levidiotou, S. (2008). An eternal microbe: *Brucella* DNA load persists for years after clinical cure. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 46, e131–e136.
- Yang, X., Hudson, M., Walters, N., Bargatze, R. F., and Pascual, D. W. (2005). Selection of protective epitopes for *Brucella melitensis* by DNA vaccination. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 7297–7303.
- Yingst, S., and Hoover, D. L. (2003). T cell immunity to brucellosis. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 29, 313–331.
- Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
- Received: 10 October 2011; accepted: 11 January 2012; published online: 01 February 2012.
- Citation: Cannella AP, Tsolis RM, Liang L, Felgner PL, Saito M, Sette A, Gotuzzo E and Vinetz JM (2012) Antigen-specific acquired immunity in human brucellosis: implications for diagnosis, prognosis, and vaccine development. *Front. Cell. Inf. Microbio.* 2:1. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2012.00001
- Copyright © 2012 Cannella, Tsolis, Liang, Felgner, Saito, Sette, Gotuzzo and Vinetz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited.